Three Weeks of Extracorporeal Therapy and Telerehabilitation for Supraspinatus Calcific Tendinosis: A Case Report

SACHIN SHETTI¹, SNEHA KATKE², PRASHANT NAIK³, MANAL ANTHIKAT⁴

(CC) BY-NC-ND

ABSTRACT

Physiotherapy Section

This case report presents the treatment of a patient with shoulder calcification and reduced Range of Motion (ROM) using a combination of Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy (ESWT) and telerehabilitation. The patient received three sessions of ESWT, once per week, followed by daily guided shoulder exercises delivered via telerehabilitation for three weeks. Pre- and post-treatment assessments included goniometry for ROM, the Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI) score for shoulder function and X-ray imaging. The results demonstrated significant improvements in pain, function and ROM suggesting the potential benefits of this combined approach in reducing patient burden and promoting sustainability in physiotherapy.

Keywords: Extracorporeal shockwave therapy, Physical therapy, Range of motion, Shoulder pain

CASE REPORT

A 45-year-old male was referred to the outpatient physical therapy department with a three months history of right shoulder pain and stiffness, leading to a decreased range of shoulder movements. He denied any prior injury and reported significant pain (7/10 on the Numerical Pain Rating Scale) that was impacting his daily life. Goniometry revealed limitations in all shoulder movements (flexion: 70-80°, abduction: 60-65°, internal rotation: 40°, external rotation: 30-35°). X-rays showed a calcific deposit in the supraspinatus tendon. His SPADI [1] score (45) indicated moderate functional limitations [2,3]. He reported no past medical history. A pretreatment assessment was conducted on the day of his first visit to the physical therapy Outpatient Department (OPD), which included X-ray findings [Table/Fig-1], a Numerical Pain Rating Score (NPRS), shoulder ROM measured with a handheld goniometer, and SPADI score [Table/Fig-2,3]. The patient received three sessions of ESWT [Table/Fig-4], one per week, targeting the calcification site. The first session involved 1000 shocks per session at an energy density of 0.25 mJ/cm² and a frequency of 8-10 Hz, while the second and third sessions involved 2000 shocks per session at an energy density of 0.25 mJ/cm² and a frequency of 12 Hz [4,5].



Additionally, he participated in a daily telerehabilitation programme [Table/Fig-5] for three weeks, divided into three phases. Phase I consisted of a 35-minute exercise protocol followed by 20 minutes of cryotherapy; Phase II consisted of 35 minutes of exercise; and Phase III consisted of 25 minutes of exercise [6-8]. The programme, delivered through a secure video platform, included therapist-guided exercises focused on pain management, ROM improvement and rotator cuff strengthening. The exercises were adjusted and progressed based on weekly virtual consultations with the therapist. The patient was reassessed after three weeks at the physical therapy OPD, which included an X-ray [Table/Fig-1], NPRS,

shoulder ROM measured with a handheld goniometer, and a SPADI score [Table/Fig-2,3].

Outcome measures	Pretreatment			Post-treatment after three weeks		
NPRS		7/10	2/10			
Range of Motion (ROM) using Universal Goniometer		Active (°)	Passive (°)	Active (°)	Passive (°)	
	Flexion	70	80	160	170	
	Abduction	60	65	165	170	
	Internal rotation	40	45	70	75	
	External rotation	30	35	70	75	
SPADI score		45 points	20 points			
[Table/Fig-2]: Pre- and post-treatment findings.						

NPRS: Numerical pain rating scale; SPADI: Shoulder pain and disability index



[Table/Fig-3]: Pre- and post-treatment ROM difference. [Table/Fig-4]: Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy (ESWT). (Images from left to right)

DISCUSSION

Supraspinatus calcific tendinosis is a common condition that causes pain and limited shoulder movement due to calcium deposits in the tendons [8,9]. Traditional treatment involves managing pain, physical therapy and sometimes injections or shockwave therapy [10]. [Table/ Fig-6] shows a comparison of different modalities for supraspinatus calcific tendinosis, highlighting their advantages and disadvantages [11-20]. However, frequent clinic visits and exercise programmes can be inconvenient for patients and strain healthcare systems. This discussion explores telerehabilitation, where physical therapy is delivered remotely using online platforms. It offers a potential solution to these challenges and promotes long-term treatment success [21,22].

		Phase I -	Active rest phase		
	Frequency	Intensity	Time	Туре	
Posture, positioning, ergonomics education/training	Twice a day for one week	Moderate	5 mins	-	
Neck and shoulder isometrics	Once a day for six days with one day recovery period for one week	Moderate to high	10 minutes per session. 10 seconds hold. Relax. Repeat five times	Type: Progressive isometric neck strength exercises in flexion, extension, and rotation, performed in a sitting position	
Passive range of motion	One week	Moderate	5 minutes per session. Thrice a day	Progressive	
Posterior capsular stretches	Daily for one week	Mild to moderate	5 minutes. Holding each stretch for an adequate duration to improve flexibility. 30 seconds and repeated three times	Static stretching	
Pendulum exercises	Six days a week with one day recovery period for one week	Moderate to high	10 minutes per session. All exercises performed for 10 repetition with the help of 1 liter water bottle	Progressive Flexion, extension, rotations and abduction	
		Doses:	Maintained at -5°C		
Physical agent	Cold packs ice (wrapped in a towel) to the affected shoulder [8]	Duration	20 minutes on and 20 minutes off, three times at the beginning and end of the day for first two days of intervention		
		Position	Supine lying		
	Ph	ase II - Strer	ngthening and stability		
	Frequency	Intensity	Time	Туре	
Posture, positioning, ergonomics education/training	Twice a day for one week	Moderate	5 mins	-	
Neck and shoulder isometrics	Once a day for six days with one day recovery period for one week	Moderate to high	10 minutes per session. 10 seconds hold. Relax. Repeat five times	Type: Progressive isometric neck strength exercises in flexion, extension, and rotation, performed in a sitting position	
Active assisted range of motion	For one week	Moderate	5 minutes per session. Thrice a day	Progressive	
Progressive Resisted Exercise (Pre)	Five days a week with two days recovery period for one week	Moderate to high	10 mins/session 10 repetition/day targeting each muscle	Progressive- Resistance bands and weights	
Posterior capsular stretches	One week	Mild to moderate	5 minutes. Holding each stretch for an adequate duration to improve flexibility. 30 seconds and repeated three times	Static stretching	
		Phase III:	Functional return		
	Frequency	Intensity	Time	Туре	
Posture, positioning, ergonomics education/training	Twice a day for one week	Moderate	5 mins	-	
Active Range of Motion	One week	Moderate	5 minutes per session. Thrice a day	Progressive	
Progressive Resisted Exercise (Pre)	Five days a week with two days recovery period for one week	Moderate to high	10 mins/session 10 repetition/day targeting each muscle	Progressive- Resistance bands and weights	
Posterior capsular stretches	Daily	Mild to moderate	5 minutes. Holding each stretch for an adequate duration to improve flexibility. 30 seconds and repeated three times	Static stretching	

S. No.	Treatment modality	Advantages	Disadvantages	
1	Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy (ESWT)	Effective in reducing shoulder pain and improving function; high success rates in symptom relief. Non invasive and stimulates the body's natural healing process [11]	May cause discomfort during treatment and does not provide added benefits when combined with eccentric training [12,13].	
2	Ultrasound-Guided Percutaneous Intervention (UGPL)	Effectively reduces calcium deposits and provides significant pain improvement with a low rate of minor complications [14]	Requires skilled practitioners and responses to treatment may vary among individuals [14].	
3	Corticosteroid injections	Provides rapid pain relief and improves function; helpful for acute inflammation [15,16]	Relief is often temporary; potential for long-term tissue harm; may increase the risk of tendon rupture [15,16].	
4	Therapeutic ultrasound	Helps resolve calcifications and offers short-term symptomatic relief with significant clinical improvement reported compared to sham treatments [17]	Limited long-term efficacy evaluations; variability in individual responses [17].	
5	Therapeutic laser (LLLT)	Non invasive, promotes faster healing and may alleviate pain associated with tendinopathy [18]	Evidence regarding its efficacy is mixed; not always effective in addressing root causes [18].	
6	Matrix rhythm therapy	More effective than therapeutic exercise alone in reducing pain, enhancing range of motion and decreasing disability [19]	Limited evidence on its long-term efficacy and potential cost involved in treatment sessions [19].	
7	Physical therapy	Increases shoulder mobility and restores muscle strength; a Non invasive treatment option [20]	May take time to see significant results; some patients may require additional treatments, such as corticosteroid injections or surgery [20].	

This case report highlights the benefits of combining shockwave therapy with telerehabilitation for shoulder calcification. The patient experienced significant pain relief, improved function and increased movement with this approach. A study conducted by Gava V et al., highlighted that telerehabilitation is more effective than home-based exercise in improving ROM, functional outcomes and quality of life for patients with shoulder disorders [23]. Furthermore, shockwave therapy equipment is reusable and requires less maintenance compared to surgery, reducing waste and resource consumption [24].

The implementation of telerehabilitation in this case aligns with the growing emphasis on sustainability in physiotherapy. A systematic review found that telerehabilitation, or physical therapy delivered online, may be just as effective as traditional in-person therapy or home exercises for shoulder pain. While the evidence for this

is weak, telerehabilitation appears to be more beneficial than simply receiving advice for shoulder pain, potentially reducing pain and improving function [24]. Sustainability in healthcare refers to practices that meet current needs without compromising future generations' ability to meet their own needs [25]. In the context of physiotherapy, sustainability involves optimising resource utilisation, improving access to care and minimising environmental impact.

Telerehabilitation offers a sustainable approach to healthcare delivery by leveraging technology to optimise resources and improve patient outcomes. Beyond the individual benefits, telerehabilitation aligns with the growing emphasis on sustainability in healthcare [26]. A study suggested that telerehabilitation can be as effective as traditional methods, particularly for those in remote areas or with mobility limitations [27]. This improves access to care while reducing travel and associated emissions. By delivering care remotely, telerehabilitation reduces the need for dedicated clinic space and equipment. This translates to a more efficient use of healthcare resources, as discussed in the benefits of telerehabilitation.

Telerehabilitation sessions often involve patient education and selfmanagement techniques, empowering patients to take charge of their recovery and potentially reducing their reliance on frequent therapist interventions, thus promoting the long-term sustainability of care.

CONCLUSION(S)

This case report demonstrates the promising potential of combining ESWT with telerehabilitation for managing shoulder calcification. The significant improvements in pain, function and ROM, coupled with the patient's positive experience and the advantages of telerehabilitation in promoting sustainability, suggest that this combined approach warrants further investigation and clinical application. Integrating sustainable practices like telerehabilitation into physical therapy can optimise resource utilisation, improve access to care and create a more patient-centred healthcare system.

REFERENCES

- Kim DH. Outcome measurement in shoulder diseases: Focus on Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI). Ann Rehabil Med. 2023;47(5):315-17. Doi: 10.5535/ arm.23130. PMID: 37907222; PMCID: PMC10620495.
- [2] Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI) [Internet]. 2023 Jun 25 [cited 2024 Aug 19]. Available from: https://www.matassessment.com/blog/shoulder-painand-disability-index-spadi.
- [3] Denali physical therapy. Shoulder pain and disability index [Internet]; [cited 2024 July 21]. Available from: https://denalipt.com/wp-content/uploads/Shoulder-Painand-Disability-Index.pdf.
- [4] Haffen B, Rompe JD, Goebel A, Mauss P, Benz P. Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for shoulder tendinopathy: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. Br J Sports Med. 2004;38(4):382-89. Doi: 10.1136/bjsm.2003.006012.
- [5] Wang CJ, Chou YH, Hsu WC, Wu CF, Wang LS. Comparison of low-dose and high-dose extracorporeal shockwave therapy for the treatment of chronic calcific tendinitis of the shoulder: A randomized controlled trial. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2010;19(7):1055-62. Doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2009.11.025.
- [6] Van Zyl L. Exercise as a conservative treatment modality for shoulder impingement syndrome: A systematic review (Doctoral dissertation, North-West University, South Africa. 2016.

- [7] Ager AL, Borms D, Bernaert M, Brusselle V, Claessens M, Roy JS, et al. Can a conservative rehabilitation strategy improve shoulder proprioception? A systematic review. J Sport Rehabil. 2021;30(1):136-51. Doi: 10.1123/jsr.2019-0400.
- [8] Srivastava S, Eapen C, Mittal H. Comparison of mobilisation with movement and cryotherapy in shoulder impingement syndrome-A randomised clinical trial. J Clin Diagn Res. 2018;12:YC01-YC05. Doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2018/34624.12091.
- [9] Bissett L, Bryant DM, Rombouts C, Vicenzino B. Evidence-based management of shoulder impingement syndrome with rotator cuff tendinopathy. Br J Sports Med. 2006;40(5):388-98.
- [10] Hägglund M, Nordqvist B, Wessman G, Ängquist L. Treatment of non-acute painful chronic calcifying tendinitis of the shoulder: A randomized, controlled, multicenter trial. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2004;13(5):489-94.
- [11] Chianca V, Albano D, Messina C, Midiri F, Mauri G, Aliprandi A, et al. Rotator cuff calcific tendinopathy: From diagnosis to treatment. Acta Biomed. 2018;89(1-S):186-96. Doi: 10.23750/abm.v89i1-S.7022. PMID: 29350647; PMCID: PMC6179075.
- [12] Ioppolo F, Tattoli M, Sante LD, Attanasi C, Venditto T, Servidio M, et al. Extracorporeal shock-wave therapy for supraspinatus calcifying tendinitis: A randomized clinical trial comparing two different energy levels. Phys Ther. 2012;92(11):1376-85. Available from: https://doi.org/10.2522/ptj.20110252.
- [13] Ettore C, Lisi C, Dall'angelo A, Monteleone S, Nola V, Tinelli C, et al. Focused extracorporeal shock wave therapy combined with supervised eccentric training for supraspinatus calcific tendinopathy. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med. 2018;54(1):41-47.
- [14] Catapano M, Robinson DM, Schowalter S, McInnis KC. Clinical evaluation and management of calcific tendinopathy: An evidence-based review. J Osteo Med. 2022;122(3):141-51. Doi: 10.1515/jom-2021-0213.
- [15] Orthopaedic surgeons. Cortisone injections around the shoulder. [Internet]. Orthosports; [cited 2024 July 21]. Available from: https://orthosports.com.au/ shoulder/cortisone-injections-around-the-shoulder/.
- [16] Mejia EA. Cortisone injections: The good and bad [Internet]. The Sports Medicine Center. 2022 [cited 2024 Aug 20]. Available from: https://www. thesportsmedcenter.com/blog/cortisone-injections-the-good-and-bad.
- [17] Kirchner JT. Ultrasound therapy for calcific tendonitis of the shoulder. American Family Physician. 1999;60(7):2157-58. Available from: https://www.proquest. com/docview/234318656?sourcetype=Scholarly%20Journals.
- [18] Haslerud S, Magnussen LH, Joensen J, Lopes-Martins RA, Bjordal JM. The efficacy of low-level laser therapy for shoulder tendinopathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Physiother Res Int. 2015;20(2):108-25. Doi: 10.1002/pri.1606. Epub 2014 Dec 2. PMID: 25450903.
- [19] Palekar TJ, Shrisunder P, Basu S, Palekar P, Nemade S. Effects of matrix rhythm therapy in patients with supraspinatus tendinitis. Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities. 2023;6(9s):127-34.
- [20] Gordon M. Calcific tendonitis: Exercises and physical therapy. [Internet]. Verywell Health. [cited 2024 July 21]; Available from: https://www.verywellhealth.com/ calcific-tendonitis-exercisesand-physical-therapy-5226004.
- [21] Lauretti O, Bizzini M, Berrini F, Capparuccia C, Sandrini G. Telerehabilitation for musculoskeletal disorders: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Osteoporos Int. 2019;30(2):229-44. Doi: 10.1007/s00198-018-4817-2.
- [22] Huang T, Zhang W, Yan B, Liu H, Girard O. Comparing telerehabilitation and home-based exercise for shoulder disorders: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2024;105(11):2214-23.
- [23] Gava V, Ribeiro LP, Barreto RPG, Camargo PR. Effectiveness of physical therapy given by telerehabilitation on pain and disability of individuals with shoulder pain: A systematic review. Clin Rehabil. 2022;36(6):715-25. Doi: 10.1177/ 02692155221083496.
- [24] Auersperg V, Trieb K. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy: An update. EFORT Open Rev. 2020;5(10):584-92. Doi: 10.1177/2041738120971820.
- [25] United Nations. 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development [Internet]. New York: United Nations; 2015 [cited 2024 September 28]. Available from: https://sdgs. un.org/2030agenda.
- [26] Blanquero M. Tele-rehabilitation Advantages and Disadvantages. European University Cyprus. 2022;42(2):82-89. Available from: https://plasticityotlab.euc. ac.cy/en/telerehabilitation-advantages-and-disadvantages/.
- [27] Nam C, Zhang B, Chow T, Ye F, Huang Y, Guo Z, et al. Home-based self-help telerehabilitation of the upper limb assisted by an electromyography-driven wrist/ hand exoneuromusculoskeleton after stroke. J Neuroeng Rehabil. 2021;18(1):137. Doi: 10.1186/s12984-021-00930-3.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Associate Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), School of Physiotherapy, Sangli, Maharashtra, India.
- 2. Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), School of Physiotherapy, Sangli, Maharashtra, India.
- 3. Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), School of Physiotherapy, Sangli, Maharashtra, India.
- 4. Associate Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), School of Physiotherapy, Sangli, Maharashtra, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Prashant Naik,

Professor, Department of Physiotheray, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University), School of Physiotherapy, Sangli-416410, Maharashtra, India. E-mail: prashant.naik@bharatividyapeeth.edu

AUTHOR DECLARATION:

- Financial or Other Competing Interests: None
- Was informed consent obtained from the subjects involved in the study? Yes
- For any images presented appropriate consent has been obtained from the subjects. Yes

PLAGIARISM CHECKING METHODS: [Jain H et al.] Plagiarism X-checker: May 16, 2024

- Manual Googling: Sep 14, 2024
- iThenticate Software: Sep 16, 2024 (10%)

Date of Submission: May 15, 2024 Date of Peer Review: Jul 18, 2024 Date of Acceptance: Sep 18, 2024 Date of Publishing: Dec 01, 2024

ETYMOLOGY: Author Origin

EMENDATIONS: 7